

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,514	04/04/2006	Heinrich Becker	MERCK-3044	4802	
23599 MILLEN WH	7590 03/18/201 ITE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			CROUSE, BI	CROUSE, BRETT ALAN	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON	. VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	1794			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/539,514	BECKER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brett A. Crouse	1794		

	Brett A. Crouse	1794							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS.	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.							
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of						
2. If he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(e)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 									
 Applicant's Teply has overcome the billowing rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 									
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or memended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13-15</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,13-15 and 17-30</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant but provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:									
(D.L.)									
/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/B. A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The claim amendment has introduced with respect to claims 13-15 a situation where the claims do not further limit the scope of the claim(s) from which they depend. Claims 13-15 are not limited to the 40 to 500 nm thickness as the upper value is not specified.

Applicant argues with respect to the refrences of record that Allen WO 99/32537 or Allen in view of Buechel US 2002/0179900 does not teach or suggest the claimed invention of the amended claims. Applicant also argues unexpected results have been established by the declaration of 5 October 2009. The examiner respectfully disagrees for the reasons below.

Allen teaches and claims the polymer comprising repeat units of formula (1) wherein the number of repeat units is unspecified in claim 1 and can be up to 500 in dependent claim 2. It is also noted with respect to layer thickness that Allen on page 12, lines 2-3 suggests that polymers of his invention can be deposited with a layer thickness less than 1 micron and less than 250 nanometers which overlaps the range of amended claim 1. Buechel additionally leaches that the range of 50 to 500 nanometers is known in the electroluminescent arts as a typical thickness range for polymeric charge transport materials.

Applicant also argues that the number repeat units of 35 or greater would not be obvious over Allen because of Allen's (PIDC) test data. It is noted that Allen's charge mobility data indicates that the higher molecular weight samples exhibit a similar charge mobility to the lower molecular weight samples.

Applicant also points to the declaration to establish unexpected results. The declaration only presents one inventive polymer, which is not commensurate in scope with the scope of the claims.